## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

	United States of America,	) Case No. $23 - mj - 71859$
	Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
		AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
	Angel Reges Defendant(s).	)
contin	uance outweigh the best interest of the public	and finds that the ends of justice served by the and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § asses this continuance on the following factor(s):
	Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to exp	x, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial and by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of d	I deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ue diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case composee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
	disposition of criminal cases, the coparagraph and — based on the particular the time limits for a preliminary heat extending the 30-day time period for	nd taking into account the public interest in the prompt urt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first es' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for r an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the . R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	DATED: MACH 1 2020	LAUREL BEELER
		United States Magistrate Judge
	STIPULATED: 61/m	(lynn
	Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney